

Mag. iur. Dr. techn. Michael Sonntag

Patents

E-Mail: sonntag@fim.uni-linz.ac.at http://www.fim.uni-linz.ac.at/staff/sonntag.htm Institute for Information Processing and Microprocessor Technology (FIM) Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

© Michael Sonntag 2013

No. 233,445.

Patented Oct. 19, 1880.

Patents



Electric Lamp.

Note:

We discuss the Austrian patent law, which is very similar to the German/European law.

The US and Japan patent law is quite different!

2



What is this, a "patent"?

- A patent is a right on an invention
- Inventions are ...
 - » Sorry! No official definition available!
 - → Teaching for systematic acting by employing controllable forces of nature to achieve a causally predictable goal
 - → Mental fabrication, resulting in a technical advance through predictable and controllable utilization of forces of nature
 - Repeatability, Goal-orientation, Forces of nature
- Characteristics:
 - → Result of the mind, idea (implementation not required!)
 - \rightarrow Technical advance (not in the USA!)
 - Protection also against independent "second inventions"
 » (Theoretically?) Important distinction to copyright!



Monopoly on the use/ownership of the invention

- → Maximum 20 years; yearly/periodic fees increase » Duration according to filing, not priority!
- → Independent from the knowledge of the patent!
- → (Full) Right starts with the day of the publication of the grant » Some protection also exists before!
- Product patent: Producing "devices"
- Process patent: How to produce something » Exclusivity includes products created directly through procedure!
- Disclosure of the invention
 - → "Return" of inventor to society for monopoly
- Compensation, information disclosure, destruction
 - On infringements!

Claim on mentioning as inventor: Personal right!

... and what not!



- No right of usage
 - → You can prohibit others from using it, but ...
 - \rightarrow this does not mean, that you may (immediately) use it!
 - Example: Drugs, dangerous devices, factories for building it etc.
 - » Approbation, governmental checks, general rules etc.
- No requirement to use
 - You can leave the patent just "on ice"
- No international right
 - Patents are exclusively national (=geographically limited)
 - \rightarrow This includes the EU-/International patents!
 - » "Joint" examination; Fees etc. must be paid for each country separately; validity separately in each country!
- Private usage and research is always allowed!
 - → Usage as subject of research, but not as object used in research!



• New = Comparison to the state of the art » What is available to the public: written, orally, through using, ... \rightarrow Practice: Written state of the art, i.e. journals and all "protection" rights (patents, utility patents, ...) » Patent offices can find it during their search \rightarrow Theory: Any public disclosure at all If everybody could have heard it, this is sufficient - Even if we can prove that nobody did hear it! \rightarrow Closed group + Obligation of secrecy \neq Public » Public: Course, Conference presentation, press release, nonrestricted theses, ... » Private: Disclosure to selected single other scientists Important: NDA (Non-Disclosure Agreement) • Patents are national, but state of the art is international! \rightarrow May occur anywhere on the world and in any language

6

Requirements for an invention: New (2)



- \rightarrow Independent of who published it (not: USA)!
 - » Exemption: Special inventors events, obvious misuse to the detriment of the applicant
 - E.g. stealing confidential papers and publishing them
- Point in time: Date of filing
 - Actually: Priority, which might be earlier than the date of filing for this specific patent application
 - \rightarrow "Priority": The date of the first filing for a patent
 - → Other patents (=same content, different country/PCT/EPA) may be applied for within one year (=exactly!) after this priority date, but will be examined (SotA, ...) as if filed at the date of priority
 - » Note: Patent duration (20 years) is still calculated from filing!
 - » Otherwise a patent would have to be filed in all countries exactly on the same day all over the world



Requirements for an invention: Usable in business

- Usable in business = Practicable and useful
 - → "Business" \neq Trade regulation laws; includes e.g. farming
 - → Actual profit is not necessary, not even potentially!
 - » For instance when unlicensed usage cannot be proven
 - → "Could be sold to someone"
- Examples which are not usable:
 - → Perpetuum mobile
 - » Cannot actually be built, so not practicable
 - → Device for decapitating flies
 - »Not useful (BGH decision!)
 - Note: If such a device would be necessary e.g. for developing drugs (like test), it might be useful and then patentable!
- This is very rarely a problem!



• Inventive = For an expert not derivable easily from the SotA

- → Implicitly includes a "technical part"
- → "Normal" technological development is "free"
 - » "Trivial" development are not an invention
- \rightarrow Expert = Average person/team from business

- Approximately equivalent to "engineer"

- » This is significantly less than the typical university scientist!
- » Average abilities and complete knowledge of the single affected area (and in no others at all!)
- \rightarrow Combination of known elements in a "new" way suffices
 - » But not the simple putting together (only additive)
 - The combination must be **more** than the **collection** of the parts!
- Practice: The level required is very low
 - \rightarrow This leads to the problem of "trivial patents"!



Sufficient disclosure: Experts must be able to repeat it

- \rightarrow Aim and reason for patents is the disclosure to the public; if it is missing/incomplete, the patent is invalid!
 - » The "expert" (\rightarrow Inventive) must be able to repeat it with his own knowledge (\rightarrow SotA) and the description within the patent alone
- \rightarrow Generally the method must be described, not the aim » Description how to do it, not the result!
- Practice: Cannot be really verified by patent offices
- USA: Additional "best mode" requirement
 - \rightarrow The best version the inventor knows of at the time of filing
 - → Example: "add between 10% and 30% of chemical A" » If he knows it works best at 25%, then this patent is invalid!
 - \rightarrow Practice: Very difficult to prove
- Recent change: Still required, but no longer a basis for invalidating a patent! Michael Sonntag Introduction to patents



 Discoveries: Pre-existing things, e.g. laws of nature, species \rightarrow Patents protect creativity (=what can be changed) » What already exists and just nobody (\rightarrow natives?) has found is not new, it was just not known to exist, although it did! Scientific theories and mathematical methods \rightarrow In their abstract form, i.e. "as such" » Example: A method for faster calculation of matrix multiplications → Their concrete application is patentable! $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ is not patentable, but a triangle calculated through it might perhaps be patented (but: New? Inventive?)! » Example: Fast matrix multiplication for picture analysis - Not "as such"; has technical aspect/effect ("picture" \rightarrow "picture"!) Aesthetical creations: Design, art, ... \rightarrow Design patent, copyright: These are protected, just differently → No "technical" influence, just "appearance" (non-functional)



- Schemes, rules and methods for performing mental acts or playing games
 - → "Thoughts are free"
 - → Practical reason: Proving someone thought in a certain way could be quite difficult …
 - → Games:
 - » These are not really "business"; might be seen differently today!
 - » Refers to the "concept"/"rules" of the game, i.e. "ego-shooter"
 - But see copyright and design patents for all materials, rule texts, ...!
- Business methods
 - \rightarrow Would be too harmful for the economy as a whole
 - → See also software patents!
 - → Only "as such", i.e. devices to support them can be patented, just not the method itself
 - \rightarrow Attention: In the USA this is possible!



- Presentation of information
 - \rightarrow Showing some data: Tables, forms etc.
 - » Might be protected by copyright, but often not (no creativity)
- Illegal or immoral inventions
 - » "Normal" legal prohibitions alone are insufficient!
 - → Letter bombs, anti personnel mines (not in every country!)
 - \rightarrow Probably also: Viruses, trojans, bugs
 - → Legal examples: Cloning humans, chimeras, …
- Many "biological" aspects: plant/animal species, etc.
 - → For these special laws exist; very similar to patents!
 - » E.g. additional "deposition" of seeds required for "disclosure"
 - Regrowing the plant/animal must be possible
 - Otherwise it would not be a "disclosure", as others couldn't repeat the "invention" at all!



- Methods for treatment of body by surgery or therapy and diagnostic methods
 - → A kind of "immorality" to monopolize these » Example: How to cut in surgery
 - → Tools, drugs, … all kinds of medical devices for this are patentable, just not the method of using them!
 - » Example: With what to cut in surgery
- Computer programs: See software patents!
 - → Not patentable "as such"





- "Unified" European patent
 - → EPA = European Patent Agreement
 - → Independent of the EU (originated from Council of Europe)! » Note: Non-EU-members are also party to this, e.g. Turkey
- Single application, single checking, single procedure
 - → Result: Separate nat. patents (fees, validity, ...)
 - → Desired countries can be selected freely from the member countries
- Disadvantages: High initial costs, e.g. translation (D, E, F)
 - \rightarrow Has been reduced lately; still more expensive than national
- Advantage: Cheaper, often faster, and simpler than applying for the same patents nationally
- → Also, the EPO (European Patent Office) might be more generous in granting patents in some areas...
 Michael Sonntag



- Patents are valid nationally; but internat. procedures exist
 PCT = Patent Cooperation Treaty
- Single application; Patents are then valid in arbitrarily selected countries
 - → But these remain completely separate patents (part of procedure, fees, validity, ...)
- Application within one year of first (typ. "local") application
 - Priority (="new") of the application for the first patent!
- International examination (not binding for countries!)
 - → But with a positive results the national examination is typically quite easy to pass
- Advantages: 30 month time for evaluation with little costs
 - → Less costs, costs are shifted backwards
- Disadvantage: Long duration



European patent with unitary effect

- "Community patent", "COMPAT": One patent for whole EU
 - \rightarrow Often tried (start 1970; failed all the time)
 - → Typical problem: Languages
 - » Reason why Spain & Italy are **NOT** part of this "EU-wide" patent!
- Idea: Single application, single procedure, single patent
 - → Pay fees once for whole EU & valid for all of EU (except ...!)
 - → Unified patent court has not yet been agreed upon, as this is not possible within the EU but is rather an international treaty » Planned for 2013
- Entry into force: Not before 2014 (earliest!)
- Court would be located in Paris, thematic branches in London (chemistry and human necessities) and Munich (mechanical engineering); each approx. 1/3 of cases



- Basic idea of the patent with unitary effect
 - \rightarrow You apply for a "normal" European patent
 - \rightarrow This patent is granted and published
 - → If the claims are the same for all countries, an application for unitary effect is possible
 - → Result: European patent + national patents "die" retroactively and the patent with unitary effect exists from the time of publication (of the European patent!) on
- Unitary effect:
 - \rightarrow Uniform protection and equal effect in all member states
 - → It may only be limited, transferred, revoked or lapse in respect of all member states
 - → Licensing is possible in territorial subsets as well
 - → License offer may added to the registry



- Advantages:
 - → Translation requirements reduced: German, English, French
 - → Single court for all of EU (and with EU-wide effect!) for infringement and revocation; court of first instance / appeal » But: No path to the European Court of Justice!
 - → Cost reduction: Estimate € 6.500 (EU+Spain+Italy) instead of approx. € 32.000 currently
 - → Little separate "offices&workers": Most work will be outsourced to the EPO
- Disadvantages:
 - → Spain & Italy
 - → Unclear on subject of patents: Software, …?
 - » Especially as processed by the EPO
 - \rightarrow Unclear how unified court will decide





Cooperation with companies: Do not forget the university! \rightarrow At least secure rights for use in research and teaching → Better: Fair division of rights of exploitation » Example: Non-exclusive license for cooperation partner • Patents: Do not forget them on any practical result \rightarrow On new and innovative products \rightarrow Contact the inventors assistant whether a patent is possible » No costs to the institute! » Important for the university and advantageous for the inventors! Any questions regarding patents, IPRs, exploitation, … Your patent advisor is always there for you!



Questions?

Thank you for your attention!



